

1	BACKGROUND	2
2	THIS SA/HRA REPORT ADDENDUM	3
3	APPRAISAL METHODOLOGY	3
4	SUMMARY	5
5	APPENDIX A – SA FRAMEWORK	8
6	APPENDIX B – SCREENING / ITERATIVE APPRAISAL	12

## 1 Background

- 1.1 On 24 May 2016 Haringey Council submitted four emerging Local Plan documents Alterations to Strategic Policies, Development Management, Site Allocations and Tottenham Area Action Plan to the Secretary of State. An independent Planning Inspector, Christine Thorby MRTPI IHBC, was then appointed to conduct an examination of the Local Plan documents.
- 1.2 Examination hearings on the Local Plan documents were held between August and September 2016, subsequent to which the Inspector set out a series of Main Modifications considered necessary to ensure the emerging Local Plans are sound. A series of other Additional (Minor) Modifications have also been proposed, aimed mainly at improving the legibility and readability of the documents.
- 1.3 The Main and Additional Modifications will be subject to a statutory 6-week public consultation.
- 1.4 The Main and Additional Modifications have not previously been assessed through the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) process and therefore need to be appropriately considered. The modifications are the focus of this SA/HRA Report Addendum, discussed in further detail below.

#### Consultation

- 1.5 The Council has prepared this SA/HRA Report Addendum for public consultation alongside the proposed Main and Additional Modifications.
- 1.6 The Council invites consultation responses on any aspect on the content and processes set out in this SA/HRA report. The consultation runs from 18 November 2016 to 13 January 2017.
- 1.7 Responses should be sent -

By email: localplan@haringey.gov.uk

By post:

Planning Policy Haringey Council 6<sup>th</sup> Floor - River Park House 225 High Road, London N22 8HQ

1.8 Responses must be received by 5 pm on 13 January 2017.

### 2 This SA/HRA Report Addendum

- 2.1 The Local Plan is being developed alongside an iterative process of Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). SA is used to ensure that the significant social, economic and environmental effects of the emerging Local Plans are systematically identified, considered and reported on. It is a requirement that SA is undertaken in line with the procedures set out in the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (the 'SEA Regulations') 2004. HRA considers the effects of the Local Plan on protected European habitats or species, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended).
- 2.2 This is an Addendum to the 'Pre-submission' SA/HRA Report (2015). It should be read alongside previous SA Reports, as relevant. Reports have been published throughout the different stages of the plan making process, including initial Scoping Reports, Interim SA Reports (published at the Regulation 18 stages) and Draft Final Reports (published at the Regulation 19 stage). An HRA report was prepared at the Regulation 19 stage.
- 2.3 All reports are available to access online from the individual plan pages at: <a href="https://www.haringey.gov.uk/localplan">www.haringey.gov.uk/localplan</a>

- 2.4 The aim of this Addendum is to present information on the proposed Main and Additional Modifications with a view to informing the post-examination hearing public consultation and subsequent finalisation of the Local Plans. Specifically, this report seeks to screen the modifications to consider whether any further sustainability issues or implications are likely to arise from these.
- 2.5 As an addendum this report is concerned principally with presenting an appraisal of the modifications, rather than the draft Local Plan as a whole. However, consideration is given to the cumulative effects of the modifications in terms of their overall influence across the entirety of the plan, where appropriate.

## 3 Appraisal Methodology

#### Sustainability Appraisal

3.1 The scope of the Sustainability Appraisal (i.e. the sustainability issues and objectives that should be a focus of the appraisal) and methodology for carrying out the appraisal has been established through previous SA reporting on the Local Plan. Accordingly, this Addendum continues to apply the SA Framework established therein. Further details on scoping are available in previous reports and the SA Framework is re-provided in Appendix A.

Screening

- 3.2 The first step in appraisal of the proposed modifications is screening. This involves consideration of whether the modifications will substantively affect the policy approach or impact significantly on the strategic sustainability objectives.
- 3.3 A precautionary approach to screening is taken here. This ensures that any uncertainty as to whether a modification could lead to new or different significant social, economic or environmental effects is appropriately addressed. Modifications are 'screened in' where there is such uncertainty.
- 3.4 The exercise is set against the following screening categories:
  - Screen 1 (S1) Technical clarification (e.g. factual update, grammatical/spelling correction, updates to signposted policies or documents, such as planning consents or legislation/policy, etc.) or a contextual amendment (e.g. changes to text within introductory sections which set the context for subsequent policies).
  - Screen 2 (S2) Procedural clarification/change (e.g. amended supporting text on how a policy would be implemented, where the policy wording itself is not changed by the modification).
  - Screen 3 (S3) Change to policy wording that would not alter how a policy would be expected to be interpreted and/or implemented (e.g. changes to policy wording and/or the supporting text to improve legibility).

- 3.5 Where one of these 3 screening criteria applies, modifications have been 'screened out' from further detailed sustainability appraisal consideration. This is on the basis that the modifications are unlikely to have potential significant effects and/or are unlikely to have implications for the (baseline) appraisal of the draft plan as set out in the 'Pre-Submission' SA Report (2015).
- 3.6 Where a modification has not been 'screened out' then it has been subject to further sustainability appraisal having regard to the SA Framework discussed above.
- 3.7 Outcomes of the screening and iterative sustainability appraisal process are set out in Appendix B. A high level summary of findings is also set out in the next section of this report.
- Modifications and there is no discussion of 'reasonable alternatives' to the preferred approaches taken therein, unlike in previous reports. Where particular policy issues have been addressed through Main Modifications, it is appropriate that these are not subject to formal 'alternatives appraisal' through the SA process, given that these have been considered and established through the public examination process and are necessary to make the Plan sound.

#### **Habitats Regulations Assessment**

- 3.9 For HRA screening the modifications have been subject to an initial screening exercise according to the criteria set out in paragraph 3.4 above.
- 3.10 Where one of the 3 screening criteria applies, modifications have been screened out from further detailed HRA consideration. This is on the basis that the modifications are unlikely to have significant effects on protected sites and/or are unlikely to have implications for the (baseline) assessment of the draft plan as set out in the 'Pre-Submission' HRA report (2015).
- 3.11 Where a modification has not been 'screened out' through this initial exercise, then it has been subject to further HRA consideration. The intention here is to establish whether an additional assessment of 'likely significant effects' is needed, having regard to findings of the baseline assessment. The methodology for considering potential significant effects is set out in Section 2 of the 'Pre-Submission' HRA Report.
- 3.12 A precautionary approach to screening is taken here. This ensures that any uncertainty as to whether a modification could lead to new or different significant effects on protected sites is appropriately addressed. Modifications are 'screened in' where there is such uncertainty.
- 3.13 Outcomes of the initial screening and iterative assessment process are set out in **Appendix B**. A high level summary of findings is also set out in the next section of this report.

## 4 Summary

- 4.1 The following section summarises key findings of the Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessment screening of the Main and Additional Modifications to Haringey's Local Plan.
- 4.2 It is important to note that neither the quantum of growth planned for the Borough over the plan period, nor the spatial distribution of this growth, has changed as a result of the Main Modifications. This SA/HRA Addendum is therefore set in the context of the same overall strategic framework (i.e. Haringey's spatial strategy) that was set out and considered at the Regulation 19 'Pre-Submission' stage. Where Modifications have been proposed for the Plan, these are principally changes to the detailed development requirements and guidelines which are considered necessary for reasons of soundness.

### Sustainability Appraisal

4.3 The majority of modifications proposed were 'screened out' from further sustainability appraisal consideration. For the most part, this is owing to the modifications consisting of changes to policy wording, or procedural clarifications within the supporting text, which did not substantively alter the overall development management principles or corresponding implementation points.

- 4.4 Where modifications were 'screened in' it was often the case that the likely effects were assessed as being limited or insignificant in scope and the revised policy therefore did not impact on the baseline appraisal.
- 4.5 In a few instances the modifications introduced some uncertainty regarding likely significant effects on particular social, economic or environmental sustainability objectives as set out in the SA Framework. This included modifications to: DM6 (removal of the 'public benefit' test on taller building proposals); DM37 (added flexibility for provision of non-employment uses on safeguarded employment sites); and DM46/DM47 (threshold approaches for clustering of betting shops and hot food takeaways replaced with town centre vitality and viability tests). In these instances, the scope of positive effects on certain SA objectives may be more limited than set out in the baseline; however this is not so significant as to affect the main thrust of the appraisal findings for the policies in question.
- 4.6 The iterative appraisal process has demonstrated that the modifications do not significantly impact on the overall appraisal of the Plan, or trigger the need for new mitigation measures to manage effects. Furthermore, the modifications are not expected to result in any significant negative social, environmental or economic effects.

#### **Habitats Regulations Assessment**

- 4.7 A screening assessment on the 'Pre-Submission' HRA
  Report was undertaken for the Development Management
  document. This concluded that the Plan would not result in
  likely significant effects on European sites, either alone and in
  combination with other projects or plans.
- 4.8 The 'Pre-Submission' HRA Report identified a single policy (DM6 Building Heights) that had the potential to result in impact pathways that link to the Lee Valley SPA and Ramsar site. The Report concluded that the identified impact pathways could be screened out.
- 4.9 It is noted that the Development Management document was revised subsequent to the HRA reporting for the Local Plan, taking on board the recommendations. In particular, Policy DM19 (Nature Conservation) was updated to ensure protection for European sites, along with additional supporting text to provide for appropriate consideration of disturbances from construction activities, which the Report identified as a potential impact pathway. For further information, the SA/HRA Addendum to the Alterations to Strategic Policies should be referred.

- 4.10 As this Addendum has previously set out, the proposed modifications do not change the overall scale or location of development expected to be delivered in Haringey over the plan period. The bulk of modifications are principally changes to the detailed development requirements and guidelines, most of which have been 'screened out' from further HRA consideration, using the methodology set out in paragraph 3.4. The remaining modifications have been considered against the findings of the baseline assessment. It is considered that these findings remain applicable to the policies as modified.
- 4.11 In light of the above, it is concluded that the proposed modifications will not result in any likely significant effects on European sites, either alone or in combination with other projects or plans.

# 5 Appendix A – SA Framework

Topic	SA Objective	Appraisal criteria  Will the policy approach under consideration help to
Social		
Crime	Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	<ul> <li>Encourage safety by design?</li> <li>Reduce levels of crime?</li> <li>Reduce the fear of crime?</li> <li>Reduce levels of antisocial behaviour?</li> </ul>
Education	Improve levels of educational attainment for all age groups and all sectors of society	<ul> <li>Increase levels of participation and attainment in education for all members of society?</li> <li>Improve the provision of and access to education and training facilities?</li> <li>Ensure educational facilities are accessible to residential areas?</li> <li>Enhance education provision in-step with new housing?</li> </ul>
Health	Improve physical and mental health for all and reduce health inequalities	<ul> <li>Improve access to health and social care services?</li> <li>Prolong life expectancy and improve well-being?</li> <li>Promote a network of quality, accessible open spaces?</li> <li>Promote healthy lifestyles?</li> <li>Provide good quality outdoor sports facilities and sites?</li> </ul>

Topic	SA Objective	Appraisal criteria  Will the policy approach under consideration help to
Housing	Provide greater choice, quality and diversity of housing across all tenures to meet the needs of residents	<ul> <li>Reduce homelessness?</li> <li>Increase the availability of affordable housing?</li> <li>Improve the condition of Local Authority housing stock?</li> <li>Improve the diversity of the housing stock?</li> <li>Promote the efficient reuse of existing housing stock whilst minimising the impact on residential amenity and character?</li> <li>Create balanced communities of different affordable housing types, densities and tenures?</li> <li>Create integrated, mixed-use tenure developments?</li> </ul>
Community Cohesion	Protect and enhance community spirit and cohesion	<ul> <li>Promote a sense of cultural identity, belonging and well-being?</li> <li>Develop opportunities for community involvement?</li> <li>Support strong relationships between people from different backgrounds and communities?</li> </ul>
Accessibility	Improve access to services and amenities for all groups	<ul> <li>Improve access to cultural and leisure facilities?</li> <li>Maintain and improve access to essential services (banking, health, education) facilities?</li> </ul>
Economic		
Economic Growth	Encourage sustainable economic growth and business development across the borough	<ul> <li>Retain existing local employment and create local employment opportunities?</li> <li>Diversify employment opportunities?</li> <li>Meet the needs of different sectors of the economy?</li> <li>To facilitate new land and business development?</li> </ul>
Skills and Training	Develop the skills and training needed to establish and maintain a healthy labour pool	<ul> <li>Improve lifelong learning opportunities and work related training?</li> <li>Reduce high levels of unemployment and worklessness?</li> <li>Facilitate development of new and improved training facilities in high unemployment areas?</li> </ul>
Economic Inclusion	Encourage economic inclusion	<ul> <li>Improve physical accessibility to local and London-wide jobs?</li> <li>Support flexible working patterns?</li> <li>Encourage new businesses?</li> </ul>

Topic	SA Objective	Appraisal criteria  Will the policy approach under consideration help to
Town Centres	Improve the vitality and vibrancy of town centres	<ul> <li>Enhance the environmental quality of the borough's town centres?</li> <li>Promote the borough's town centres as a place to live, work and visit?</li> <li>Ensure that the borough's town centres are easily accessible and meet local needs and requirements?</li> <li>Promote high quality buildings and public realm?</li> </ul>
Environmental		
Biodiversity	Protect and enhance biodiversity	<ul> <li>Protect and enhance biodiversity at designated and non-designated sites?</li> <li>Link and enhance habitats and wildlife corridors?</li> <li>Provide opportunities for people to access wildlife and diverse open green spaces?</li> </ul>
Townscape and Cultural Heritage	Protect and enhance the borough's townscape and cultural heritage resources and the wider London townscape	<ul> <li>Promote townscape character and quality?</li> <li>Preserve or enhance buildings and areas of architectural and historic interest?</li> </ul>
Open Space	Protect and enhance the borough's landscape resources	<ul><li>Promote a network of quality, accessible open spaces?</li><li>Address deficiencies in open space provision?</li></ul>
Water Resources	Protect and enhance the quality of water features and resources	<ul> <li>Preserve ground and surface water quality?</li> <li>Conserve water resources?</li> <li>Incorporate measures to reduce water consumption?</li> </ul>
Soil and Land Quality	Encourage the use of previously developed land	<ul> <li>Encourage the development and remediation of brownfield land?</li> <li>Promote the efficient and effective use of land whilst minimising environmental impacts?</li> </ul>
Flood Risk and Climate Change	Mitigate and adapt to climate change	<ul><li>Reduce and manage flood risk from all sources?</li><li>Encourage the inclusion of SUDS in new development?</li></ul>
Air Quality	Protect and improve air quality	<ul><li>Manage air quality within the borough?</li><li>Encourage businesses to produce travel plans?</li></ul>
Noise	Minimise the impact of the ambient noise environment	Minimise the impact of the ambient noise environment?

Topic	SA Objective	Appraisal criteria  Will the policy approach under consideration help to
Energy and Carbon	Limit climate change by minimising energy use reducing CO2 emissions	<ul> <li>Minimise the use of energy?</li> <li>Increase energy efficiency and support affordable warmth initiatives?</li> <li>Increase the use of renewable energy?</li> <li>Mitigate against the urban heat island effect?</li> <li>Ensure type and capacity of infrastructure is known for future development?</li> </ul>
Waste Management	Ensure the sustainable use of natural resources	<ul> <li>Reduce the consumption of raw materials (particularly those from finite or unsustainable sources)?</li> <li>Encourage the re-use of goods?</li> <li>Reduce the production of waste?</li> <li>Support the use of sustainable materials and construction methods?</li> <li>Increase the proportion of waste recycling and composting across all sectors?</li> </ul>
Sustainable Transport	Promote the use of sustainable modes of transport	<ul> <li>Improve the amenity and connectivity of walking and cycling routes?</li> <li>Promote the use of public transport?</li> <li>Reduce the use of the private car?</li> <li>Encourage development in growth areas and town centres and reduce commuting?</li> </ul>

# 6 Appendix B – Screening / Iterative Appraisal

Developm				
Main Modi	fications			
Modification	Policy / Para /	Description of Change	SA Screening	HRA Screening
Reference	Page			
DMMod1	Whole Plan	Throughout documents: Replace "Consequentially" with "Consequently"	S1	S1
DMMod2	Whole Plan	As a result of additions or deletions, amend subsequent policy and paragraph numbering throughout the document and ensure subheadings in reasoned justification to the policies remain relevant	S1	S1
DMMod3	Whole Plan	Replace "shop fronts" with "Shopfronts" throughout the document	S1	S1
DMMod4	Policy DM2	Amend Policy DM2 Part A(d) to read:  d. <u>Comply with Have regard to</u> the principles set out in 'Secured by <u>dD</u> esign'.	S3	S3
DMMod5	Paragraph 2.14	Add an additional sentence at end of paragraph 2.14 to read:  Proposals will be assessed against the principles of 'Secured by Design'. The latest published guidance in this respect should be referred.	S2	S2
DMMod6	Policy DM3	Amend Policy DM3 Part A(c) to read:  c. Appropriately Mmaintained in perpetuity.	S3	S3
DMMod7	Policy DM3	Amend second sentence of Policy DM3 Part B to read:  The management of tThese spaces, including their use and public access, will need to be agreed by the Council	S3	S3

		should be positively managed, maintained to a high		
		standard, and public access secured.		
DMMod8	Policy DM5	Amend Policy DM5 Part A(b) to read:  b. Makes a positive contribution to the characteristics and composition of the local view, where possible; and	The changes provide for further flexibility on the consideration of proposals having regard to individual site circumstances and set out further details to assist with implementation (i.e. regard to 'characteristics of the local view'). Overall, the key policy principles remain intact and the modification is therefore not considered to impact on the appraisal.	No change
DMMod9	Policy DM5	Amend Policy DM5 Part A(c) as follows:  c. Be consistent with Has had regard to the Council's Tall Buildings and Views Supplementary Planning Document."	S3	S3
DMMod10	Policy DM5	Amend Policy DM5 to include an additional criterion DM5 Part E to read:  E. Proposals should have regard to views identified in Conservation Area Appraisals and Management Plans (See Policy DM9).	This criterion has been elevated from the supporting text with additional strengthening through a cross-reference to DM9. The modification is not considered to affect how the policy or Plan would be implemented and therefore does not impact on the appraisal findings.	S3

DMMod11	Figure 2.1	Graphic update to improve legibility of map (i.e. scale and resolution).	S1	S1
DMMod12	Policy DM6	Amend Policy DM6 Part B to read:  B. Proposals for taller buildings that project above the prevailing height of the surrounding area must be justified in community benefit as well as urban design terms and should conform to the following general design requirements:	The sustainability effects of this modification are uncertain. This is because effects on the sustainability objectives would be subject to the nature of individual proposals and the specific community benefits that would have been provided as justification for schemes. Where the appraisal has previously considered the remaining requirements (i.e. urban design) it identified significant positive effects and this remains unchanged.	No change
DMMod13	Policy DM6	Amend Policy DM6 Part C(c) as follows:  c. <u>Be consistent with Have regard to</u> the Council's Tall Buildings and Views Supplementary Planning Document."	S3	S3
DMMod14	Figure 2.2	Amend Figure 2.2 to include two additional locations potentially suitable for tall buildings - Apex House and Finsbury Park — to accord with the SLR evidence base.	S1	S1
DMMod15	Policy DM9	Amend Policy DM9 Part A to read:  A. Development that <u>sustains</u> <u>conserves</u> and enhances the significance of a heritage asset and its setting will be supported.	S3	S3
DMMod16	Policy DM9	Delete the last sentence of Policy DM9 Part J(c) as follows:	The policy has been amended to ensure consistency with	No change

DMM6.417	Dorograph	c. The proposals address relevant policies (A-I) above.  Proposals that cause harm should be exceptional in relation to the significance of the asset, and be clearly and convincingly justified in line with national policy.	national policy. The criterion continues to provide that proposals must address the full complement of policies within DM9, which provide a robust basis for assessing impact on the significance of heritage assets. The modification is not considered to impact on the overall appraisal the policy, which provides for significant positive effects.	
DMMod17	Paragraph 2.75	Amend the second to last sentence of paragraph 2.75 to read:  If it is suitably demonstrated that this is not possible, a programme of conservation will be required including satisfactory excavation and recording of remains on site along with arrangements for archiving, including publication and deposition in an appropriate designated museum.	S2	S2
DMMod18	Policy DM11	Delete Policy DM11 Part A(d) as follows:  d. The need to optimise housing outputs on sites	DM11 includes another criterion addressing the need to optimise housing potential of sites. The modification does not impact on the appraisal findings.	S3
DMMod19	Policy DM11	Amend Policy DM11 Part A(e) to read:  e. The need to achieve inclusive and mixed and balanced	S3	S3

		sustainable communities.		
DMMod20	Policy DM 11	Delete Policy DM11 Part E as follows:	S3	S3
		E. Institutional investment which provides long term	This has been moved to the	
		investment in the private rental sector (not including	supporting text as an	
		accommodation for students) will be supported by the	implementation/guidance	
		Council where it meets local housing needs and is of a	point. The modification does	
		high quality and consistent with the policies in this Plan,	not impact on the appraisal	
		including the requirement to provide affordable	findings.	
		housing.		
DMMod21	Paragraph 3.9	Include the following after the 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence at paragraph	S2	
		3.9:		
		In accordance with the London Plan, the distinct		
		economics of covenanted private rented developments		
		will be taken into account in the assessment of scheme		
		viability.		
DMMod22	Paragraph 3.9	Amend paragraph 3.9 to include additional wording at	S2	S2
		the end of paragraph to read:		
		Institutional investment which provides long-term		
		investment in the private rental sector (not including		
		accommodation for students) will be supported by the		
		Council where it meets local housing needs, is of a high		
		quality and consistent with the policies in this plan,		
		including the requirement to provide affordable		
		housing.		
DMMod23	Policy DM12	Amend Policy DM12 to include a new criterion DM12 Part	S3	S3
		F to read:		
			This criterion has been	
		F. Proposals for residential extensions should have	elevated from the supporting	
		regard to the Council's relevant Supplementary	text and provides further	
		Planning Documents, where appropriate, including the	clarification on how the	

DMMod24	Paragraph 3.17	The Council considers that there are exceptional circumstances for residential extensions in South Tottenham that merit further considerations. Proposals will therefore be expected to have regard to the South Tottenham House Extensions Supplementary Planning Document.	policy would be implemented. The modification does not therefore impact on the appraisal.  S2/S3  This criterion has been elevated to the supporting text. The modification does not therefore impact on the appraisal.	S2/S3
DMMod25	Policy DM13	a. Sites that are artificially sub-divided or re-developed in phases. This will be assessed based on the following:  i. Whether the sites/land parcels are in the same ownership; ii. The occupied status of sites/land parcels at the grant of planning permission for the first application and at the commencement of the development; iii. Land agent/infrastructure provider intelligence as to development interest; and iv. The time lapsed between completion of the first development before planning permission is sought for the next.	The policy now includes additional details for how relevant development proposals would be assessed, but this does not change the policy principle. The modification does not therefore impact on the appraisal.	S3
DMMod26	Policy DM13	Delete Policy DM13 Part B(b) as follows:  b. Additional residential units that are created through amended planning applications:	For appraisal purposes, this modification is considered alongside the modification on DM13 Part B(c). The policies have been amended to clarify that affordable housing	No change

			requirements will apply to additional residential units proposed above that provided by unimplemented permitted development. The modification is expected to ensure effective implementation of the policy. The policy is therefore likely to continue to result in significant positive effects, as set out in the baseline appraisal.	
DMMod27	Policy DM13	c. Additional residential units proposed above that provided by unimplemented permitted development;	For appraisal purposes, this modification is considered alongside the modification on DM13 Part B(b). The policies have been amended to clarify that affordable housing requirements will apply to additional residential units proposed above that provided by unimplemented permitted development. The modification is expected to ensure effective implementation of the policy. The policy is therefore likely to continue to result in significant positive effects, as set out in the baseline appraisal.	No change
DMMod28	Policy DM13	DM13 B (e) has a second (e) in the numbering which	S1	S1

		should be deleted.		
DMMod29	Policy DM13	Amend Policy DM13 Part F(b) to read:	S3	S3
		b. Secure a more balanced inclusive and mixed		
		community in accordance with Policy DM11.		
DMMod30	Policy DM13	Delete Policy DM13 Part G as follows:	This modification will result in	No change
			fewer affordable units over	
		G. The Council will seek to achieve 20% of new units on	the plan period – however it	
		small sites to be achieved as affordable, in line with SP2	will not worsen the baseline	
		and set out in the Planning Obligations SPD.	situation and the SA	
			conclusions of a significant	
			overall positive effect	
			regarding housing delivery	
			still hold, as many schemes of	
			10 or fewer units would not	
			viably be able to deliver	
			affordable units, and so the	
			net impact will be de-	
			minimus in the overall supply	
			of affordable units. There are	
			also no alternative policy	
			approaches to secure	
			affordable housing from	
			smaller developments as it	
			has been specifically	
			precluded by the	
			Government, the effect of	
			this decision will have been	
			subject of separate analysis	
			by CLG.	
DMMod31	Policy DM13	Delete Policy DM13 Part H as follows:	S3	S3
		H. Cash in-lieu contributions are only acceptable as a	This requirement is covered	

aragraph 21	Insert an additional paragraph after paragraph 3.21 to read:  Where a building or part of a building benefits from an unimplemented prior approval for conversion to residential use as permitted development, proposals for additional residential development (i.e. through extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing. In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units to be provided in the development as a whole.	elsewhere in the policy and the change avoids unnecessary duplication. The modification is not considered to impact on the appraisal.	S2
	Insert an additional paragraph after paragraph 3.21 to read:  Where a building or part of a building benefits from an unimplemented prior approval for conversion to residential use as permitted development, proposals for additional residential development (i.e. through extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing. In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units	unnecessary duplication. The modification is not considered to impact on the appraisal.	S2
	Where a building or part of a building benefits from an unimplemented prior approval for conversion to residential use as permitted development, proposals for additional residential development (i.e. through extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing. In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units	modification is not considered to impact on the appraisal.	S2
	Where a building or part of a building benefits from an unimplemented prior approval for conversion to residential use as permitted development, proposals for additional residential development (i.e. through extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing. In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units	considered to impact on the appraisal.	S2
	Where a building or part of a building benefits from an unimplemented prior approval for conversion to residential use as permitted development, proposals for additional residential development (i.e. through extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing. In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units	appraisal.	S2
	Where a building or part of a building benefits from an unimplemented prior approval for conversion to residential use as permitted development, proposals for additional residential development (i.e. through extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing. In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units	• •	S2
	unimplemented prior approval for conversion to residential use as permitted development, proposals for additional residential development (i.e. through extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing. In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units		
	additional residential development (i.e. through extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing.  In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units		
	extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing.  In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units		
	be subject to the requirement for affordable housing. In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units		
	In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units		
	to be provided in the development as a whole.		
olicy DM15	Amend Policy DM15 Part B(b)(iii) to read:	S3	S3
	iii. <u>Necessary IL</u> evel of supervision, management and care/support;		
olicy DM15	Amend Policy DM15 Part D(c) to read:	S3	S3
	c. The accommodation is of a high standard quality design, including adequate consideration for unit size and compliance with daylight and sunlight standards;		
olicy DM15	Amend Policy DM15 Part D(f) to read:	S3	S3
	f. The accommodation can be secured by agreement for occupation by members of a specified educational institution(s), or, subject to viability, the proposal will	The policy now includes a reference to viability in respect of affordable housing	
olic	y DM15	design, including adequate consideration for unit size, and compliance with daylight and sunlight standards;  Amend Policy DM15 Part D(f) to read:  f. The accommodation can be secured by agreement for occupation by members of a specified educational institution(s), or, subject to viability, the proposal will	design, including adequate consideration for unit size, and compliance with daylight and sunlight standards;  Amend Policy DM15 Part D(f) to read:  S3  S3  f. The accommodation can be secured by agreement for occupation by members of a specified educational  The policy now includes a reference to viability in

		accommodation in accordance with Policy DM13.	addressed in DM13. The modification is not	
			considered to impact on the appraisal findings.	
DMMod36	Paragraph 3.33	Amend text from second sentence of paragraph 3.33 and include additional text after last sentence of the paragraph to read:	S2	S2
		Applicants should submit evidence of an undertaking where appropriate. Where there is not an undertaking by involving a specific educational institution, providers will be expected to deliver an element of student accommodation that is affordable for students—in line with ‡the London Plan and associated guidance, including the Mayor's Housing SPG, which sets out		
		further details in this regard. To avoid confusion, an  "undertaking" is a nominations agreement between a  provider of Purpose Built Student Accommodation  (PBSA) and one or more academic institutions.		
DMMod37	Paragraph 3.33	Insert an additional paragraph following paragraph 3.33 to read:	S2	S2
		Student accommodation is included within the London Plan strategic housing requirement for Haringey. Being non-conventional housing, it will be captured separately for monitoring purposes within the Authority Monitoring Report. This will complement information provided through the Mayor's Academic Forum which informs London Plan monitoring.		
DMMod38	Policy DM16	Amend Policy DM16 Part A(g) to read:	S3	S3
		g. The proposal provides for a mix of unit sizes <u>in line</u> with Policy DM11 ( <u>i.e. proposals which seek to</u>	The policy now includes a policy cross-reference to	The policy now includes a policy cross-reference to

		maximise the number of one bedroom or studio units	DN411bich coto the deteiled	DN411 which coto the datailed
			DM11 which sets the detailed	DM11 which sets the detailed
		will not be acceptable where an alternative mix	strategic requirements on	strategic requirements on
		including larger units could be practically provided).	housing mix. This does	housing mix. This does
			change the policy principle	change the policy principle
			and the modification	and the modification
			therefore does not impact on	therefore does not impact on
			the appraisal of the policy or	the appraisal of the policy or
			the Plan.	the Plan.
DMMod39	Paragraph 3.36	Amend 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of paragraph 3.36 to read:	S2	S2
		This will ensure the Council can protect the remaining		
		existing stock of family houses (3+ bedrooms) within		
		these areas (see Figure 3.1 'Family Housing Protection		
		Zone'), and to ensure that, across the Borough, the range		
		of Haringey's housing needs can be met.		
DMMod40	Policy DM17	Delete Policy DM17 Part A(c) as follows:	S3	S3
		c. They satisfy the appropriate Haringey Environmental	This matter is covered by	
		Health Standards.	separate legislation and has	
			been moved to a reference in	
			the supporting text.	
DMMod41	DM17 Part B(a)	Amend Part B(a) of Policy DM17 to read:	S1/S3	S1/S3
		a. Where the property does not meet the appropriate		
		standards for an HMO (as set out in the Housing Act		
		2004 and the Management of HMOs (England)		
		(Regulations) 2006) and has no realistic prospect of		
		meeting the standards; or		
DMMod42	Paragraph 3.39	Amend the second sentence of paragraph 3.39 to read:	S1	S1
		The Author A Discotts and the state of the s		
		I he Article 4 Direction requires those wishing to change		
		The Article 4 Direction requires those wishing to change a single use dwelling unit (C43 Use Class) to a small HMO		

		for planning permission.		
DMMod43	Paragraph 3.39	Include the following additional text at the end of paragraph 3.39:  HMOs will be required to satisfy the appropriate	S2	S2
DMMod44	Policy DM18	Haringey Environmental Health Standards.  Amend DM18 Part B to read:	S3	S3
		B. The Council will not permit Proposals for basements which must not include habitable rooms or other sensitive uses in areas prone to flooding where there is no reasonable means of escape.		
DMMod45	Policy DM20	Amend Part A of Policy DM20 as follows:	S3	S3
		A. Open Space is protected from inappropriate development by Policy SP13. The Council will not grant planning permission for proposals for dD evelopment that protects and enhances Haringey's open spaces will be supported. that would result in the loss of open space., unless an assessment has been undertaken which shows that the open space is surplus to requirements for the use as an open space.	The key principle of the policy (i.e. protection against loss of open space) remains intact through the modification. The modification is therefore not considered to impact on the appraisal.	
DMMod46	Policy DM20	Amend Part G of Policy DM20 to read: on the site, in accordance with the open space standards set out in the Haringey Open Space and Biodiversity Study (2013), subject to viability.	The modification clarifies expectations for open space provision by reference to local standards. This is likely to have positive effects on environmental and social sustainability objectives however recognising the baseline appraisal already set out significant positive effects in this regard.	No change

DMMod47	Paragraph 4.11	Delete the last sentence of the paragraph and replace with the following:	S2	S2
		The NPPF states that existing open space, sport and recreation facilities should not be built on unless clearly surplus to requirements, or where the loss would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality, or where the need for and benefits of the development clearly outweigh the loss.  Haringey's Open Space and Biodiversity Study (2013) shows that there is a significant quantitative shortfall in accessible open space to meet the needs of the Borough's population, and for this reason Strategic		
		Policy SP13 establishes a presumption against any net loss of open space, and with the exception of small scale ancillary facilities, resists development on open spaces.		
DMMod48	Paragraph 4.15	Amend paragraph 4.15 as follows:  The population in Haringey is projected to increase by approximately 75,000 people between 2015-2035. The additional population will be accommodated through the promotion of more compact urban development on existing brownfield land. This, however, should not lead to a reduction in amenity and places greater priority on the need to protect and maintain a well-distributed, well-connected and accessible supply of open space.  will place pressure on local services, including open spaces. In this context, it is important that the Council prioritises the protection and enhancement of the Borough's open spaces, as well as improving public access to them. For this reason, open space does not fall within the NPPF definition of 'brownfield / previously-developed sites', although brownfield sites	S2	S2

	<u>redevelopment.</u>		
Policy DM22	Amend Policy DM22 Part C(b) to read:	S3	S3
	h All major development located within 500 metres of	This is a formatting	
	,	<u> </u>	
	,		
		included in the policy.	
Policy DM22	Amend Policy DM22 Part C(c) to read:	S3	S3
	c. All major development located within 500 metres of a	This is a formatting	
	planned future DE Network, which is considered by the	modification which clarifies	
	Council likely to be operational within 3 years of a grant	requirements already	
	1		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,, panay.	
Policy DM22	Delete DM22 Part C(d).	S3	S3
	d Miles a connection to an existing an alemand future	This is a formatting	
	<u></u>		
		-	
		-	
Policy DM23	Amend Policy DM23 Part C to read:	S3	S3
	C. Where <u>necessary</u> , adequate mitigation <u>is not must be</u>		
	provided planning permission will be refused.		
Policy DM23	Amend 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of Policy DM23 Part D to read:	\$3	\$3
	D. Proposals for Protentially noisy developments may		
	Policy DM22  Policy DM22  Policy DM23	b. All major development located within 500 metres of an existing DE Network, and minor new-build development located within 25 metres, will be expected to secure connection to that network <u>subject to demonstration of technical feasibility and financial viability.</u> Policy DM22	Policy DM22 Amend Policy DM22 Part C(b) to read:  b. All major development located within 500 metres of an existing DE Network, and minor new-build development located within 25 metres, will be expected to secure connection to that network subject to demonstration of technical feasibility and financial viability.  Policy DM22 Amend Policy DM22 Part C(c) to read:  c. All major development located within 500 metres of a planned future DE Network, which is considered by the Council likely to be operational within 3 years of a grant of planning permission, will be expected to secure connection to that network subject to demonstration of technical feasibility and financial viability.  Policy DM22 Delete DM22 Part C(d).  d. Where connection to an existing or planned future DE network is expected, applicants must submit a feasibility assessment so the Council can determine whether a connection is technically feasible and financially viable.  Policy DM23 Amend Policy DM23 Part C to read:  C. Where necessary, adequate mitigation is not must be provided planning permission will be refused.  Policy DM23 Amend 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of Policy DM23 Part D to read:  S3  This is a formatting modification which clarifies requirements already included in the policy.  S3  This is a formatting modification which clarifies requirements already included in the policy.  S3  This is a formatting modification which clarifies requirements already included in the policy.  S3  This is a formatting modification which clarifies requirements already included in the policy.  S3  This is a formatting modification which clarifies requirements already included in the policy.  S3  This is a formatting modification which clarifies requirements already included in the policy.  S3  This is a formatting modification which clarifies requirements already included in the policy.  S3  This is a formatting modification which clarifies requirements already included in the policy.  S3  This is a formatting modification which clarifies requirements already included

that measures will be implemented to mitigate its impact.  DMMod54 Paragraph Add an additional sentence at end of paragraph 4.58 to read:  In line with London Plan Policy 7.14, the Council expects that all development should be at least 'air quality neutral'.  DMMod55 Paragraph Add the following additional text to the beginning of 4.77 A.77 As follows:  The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will recist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or			be refused if it cannot be must suitably demonstrated		
DMMod54 Paragraph Add on additional sentence at end of paragraph 4.58 to read:  In line with London Plan Policy 7.14, the Council expects that all development should be at least 'air quality neutral'.  DMMod55 Paragraph Add the following additional text to the beginning of paragraph 4.77 as follows:  The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  DThe Council will refuse pt roposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be any must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  DThe Council will resist pt roposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or					
DMMod54 Paragraph 4.58					
Line with London Plan Policy 7.14, the Council expects that all development should be at least 'air quality neutral'.	DMMod54	Paragraph	·	52	52
In line with London Plan Policy 7.14, the Council expects that all development should be at least 'air quality neutral'.  Add the following additional text to the beginning of paragraph 4.77 as follows:  The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or	Divilviou54		j	32	32
that all development should be at least 'air quality neutral'.  Add the following additional text to the beginning of paragraph 4.77 as follows:  The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or		4.36	redu.		
that all development should be at least 'air quality neutral'.  Add the following additional text to the beginning of paragraph 4.77 as follows:  The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or			In line with London Plan Policy 7.14, the Council expects		
DMMod55 Paragraph 4.77 Add the following additional text to the beginning of paragraph 4.77 as follows:  The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be as must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  S3 S3  S3  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  S3 S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3					
DMMod55 Paragraph 4.77 Add the following additional text to the beginning of paragraph 4.77 as follows:  The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse ptroposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist ptroposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  33 S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3					
4.77  paragraph 4.77 as follows:  The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56  Policy DM27  Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  53  S3  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57  Policy DM28  Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  S3  S3  S3  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58  Policy DM33  Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S	DMMod55	Paragraph		\$2	52
The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  S3 S3  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  S3 S3  S3  An end Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  S3 S3  S3	Divilviouss			32	32
Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  33 S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3		4.77	puragraph 4.77 as joliows.		
Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  33 S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3			The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk		
development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  S3 S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3  S3					
basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or			-		
risk of fluvial flooding.  DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or					
DMMod56 Policy DM27 Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:  D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or			-		
D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or	DMMod56	Policy DM27		53	53
development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pP roposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or	Divilouse	1 0110, 211127	Timena i oney Binizi i are B to redui		
development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pP roposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or			D. The Council will refuse pProposals for new		
would be an must not result in an unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.  DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or					
policy DM28			•		
DMMod57 Policy DM28 Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:  D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or					
D. The Council will resist pP roposals that would should not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or	DMMod57	Policy DM28		S3	S3
not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or		,	,		
not adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or			D. The Council will resist pProposals that would should		
culverting.  DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or			not adversely affect the natural functioning of main		
DMMod58 Policy DM33 Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:  a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or			rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through		
a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or			culverting.		
	DMMod58	Policy DM33	Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:	S3	S3
DMMod59 Policy DM34 Amend Policy DM34 to include an additional criterion S3 S3			a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; or		
	DMMod59	Policy DM34	Amend Policy DM34 to include an additional criterion	S3	S3

	T	T 20424 2 4 2 4		
		DM34 Part B to read:		
			The modification provides a	
		B. All proposals will be considered having regard to	signpost to DM9 however this	
		their impact on the historic environment, in line with	would not change how the	
		Policy DM9.	policy is expected to be	
			implemented. The	
			requirement would be	
			applicable to all proposals	
			irrespective of the cross-	
			reference as the Local Plan	
			needs to be considered in its	
			entirety.	
DMMod60	Policy DM37	Amend DM37 to include a new Part B to read:	The sustainability effects of	No change
			this modification are	
		B. Within Locally Significant Industrial Sites (LSIS).	uncertain. The policy now	
		proposals for uses not within Use Classes B1 to B8 will	provides flexibility for the	
		only be supported in exceptional circumstances where	introduction of non-	
		the following can be demonstrated:	employment uses on LSIS	
			which, depending on the	
		a. The proposal is necessary to facilitate meeting the	nature of use and scheme	
		needs of modern industry and business; or	brought forward, could	
		b. The proposal relates to a use which supports the	potentially compromise the	
		continued functioning of the LSIS as a	integrity of LSIS. Crucially, the	
		predominantly industrial and commercial area; or	policy also sets out further	
		c. The proposal would deliver strategic economic	criteria to ensure	
		benefits of a borough or sub-regional scale; and	employment generating	
		d. The loss of the B Use Class land or floorspace would	function of LSIS is	
		not compromise the strategic employment land	safeguarded. This should	
		requirement; and	therefore provide that there	
		e. The proposal would not restrict, prevent or in any	are no significant negative	
		way prejudice the continued operation of adjoining	effects on the economic	
		or nearby established employment uses.	sustainability objectives.	
			Further, the policy provides	

			that non B-Class uses will only be supported in exceptional circumstances, thus limiting potential for this occurrence. It will be important that any loss of LSIS is carefully monitored over the plan period, consistent with the existing monitoring framework.	
DMMod61	Policy DM38	Amend title of DM38 to read: <u>Employment-Led Regeneration Local Employment Area</u> <u>Regeneration Areas</u>	S1	S1
DMMod62	Policy DM38	A. The Council will support proposals for mixed-use.  employment-led development within a Local Employment Area – Regeneration Area or on a highly accessible non-designated employment site where this is necessary to facilitate the renewal and regeneration (including intensification) of existing employment land and floorspace. In addition to complying with other policy requirements, proposals must:	For plan legibility, the requirements for nondesignated employment sites have been moved within a modified catch-all policy for non-designated land and sites (DM40), for which SA implications have been addressed. The modification is not considered to impact on the appraisal for LEA-RA sites.	S3
DMMod63	Policy DM38	Delete DM38 Part A(a) as follows:  a. Suitably demonstrate that for reasons of viability a mixed-use scheme is necessary to facilitate the delivery of employment floorspace;	This point is covered elsewhere in the policy and the change avoids	S3

			unnecessary duplication. The	
			modification is not	
			considered to impact on the	
			appraisal.	
DMMod64	Policy DM38	Amend DM38 Part A(b) to read:	S3	S3
		b. Maximise the amount of employment floorspace to be	Considerations for	
		provided within the mixed-use scheme, having regard to	development viability are	
		development viability;	captured elsewhere in the	
			policy and Local Plan. The	
			modification is not	
			considered to impact on the	
			appraisal.	
DMMod65	Policy DM38	Amend DM38 Part A(c)(ii) to read:	S1	S1
		ii. Flexibility of design to enable adaptability to different		
		business uses over the lifetime of the development; and		
DMMod66	Policy DM38	Amend DM38 Part A(c)(iii) to read:	S1	S1
		iii. Environmental quality of the site.: and		
DMMod67	Policy DM38	Re-order DM38 Part A(c)(iv) to DM38 Part A(d) and	S1	S1
		amend to read:		
		d and a Book Stan for a selection of afficulable		
		d. Make Pprovision for an element of affordable		
DAARA JOO	Dalla DMA20	workspace where viable:	Whilst the modification	Name
DMMod68	Policy DM38	Delete DM38 Part A(d).		No change
		d Investigate the site/s note still to contribute to	removes a requirement for	
		d. Investigate the site's potential to contribute to meeting the Borough's identified gypsy and traveller	proposals to investigate the	
		accommodation needs:	potential for gypsy and traveller accommodation, this	
		<del>accommodation needs,</del>	does not preclude LEA-RA	
			sites from delivering this type	
			of development. Further,	
			or development. Further,	

			T. 1-1 1 :	Т
			Local Plan policy SP2 sets the	
			strategic approach for	
			meeting identified need for	
			gypsy and traveller	
			accommodation, along with a	
			criteria based policy for	
			relevant proposals. The	
			modification is therefore not	
			considered to impact on the	
			appraisal.	
DMMod69	Policy DM38	Amend DM38 Part A(e) to read:	S3	S3
		e. Ensure an adequate separation of uses appropriate		
		standard of amenity for the development's users and		
		neighbours, particularly where new residential		
		floorspace is introduced as part of a mixed-use scheme;		
DMMod70	Policy DM38	Amended Policy DM38 Part A(g) to read:	S3	S3
		g. Be designed to enable connection to ultra fast		
		broadband.		
DMMod71	Paragraph	Amend 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.12 to read:	S3	S3
	6.12			
		In response to these issues the Council will apply a more		
		flexible approach to the development of some		
		employment sites, supporting employment-led, mixed-		
		use schemes where they will facilitate site regeneration		
		and renewal.		
DMMod72	Paragraph	Amend paragraph 6.13 to read:	S2	S2
	6.13			
		Mixed-use redevelopment of employment land will be	The requirements/supporting	
		restricted to Local Employment Area – Regeneration	text for non-designated	
		Areas and other highly accessible non-designated	employment sites have been	
		employment sites (see Policy DM40). The Strategic	moved within a modified	

		-		
		Policies Local Plan provides the basis for a more flexible approach to development in such Regeneration Areas. Furthermore, the London Plan and Haringey's Strategic Policies require that more intensive land uses are directed to highly accessible, sustainable locations.  Mixed-use schemes proposed on non-designated employment sites will not be considered suitable if they	catch-all policy for non- designated land and sites (DM40), for which SA implications have been addressed. The modification is not considered to impact on the appraisal for LEA-RA	
DMMod73	Paragraph 6.14	Applicants will be required to submit a viability.	sites.	S2
		Applicants will be required to submit a viability assessment that clearly demonstrates that the proposed mixed-use scheme is necessary to cross-subsidise and enable maximises the development of employment uses.		
DMMod74	Paragraph 6.16	Delete 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.16.	S2	S2
		They should therefore be considered for suitability to meet identified need for gypsy and traveller accommodation, having regard to site viability, design considerations and other relevant Local Plan policies.	The implications of the deletion of the corresponding policy are set out in the comments for Policy DM38 Part A(d).	
DMMod75	Paragraph 6.17	Amend 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.17 to read:  Where non-employment uses are introduced it is imperative that the employment and business function of the LEA <u>RA</u> or non-designated site remains intact.	S2	S2
DMMod76	Policy DM39	Amend DM39 to include a new Part A to read:	S3	S3
		A. Warehouse living is a specific type of land use that has emerged over time in certain employment locations within Haringey, and lends particular support to the creative industries sector. It does not fall within a	The policy is now defines Warehouse Living and makes explicit which use class it falls under. These are clarification	

		specific use class – and is not live/work development –	points to assist with policy	
		and as such is considered a Sui Generis use.	implementation. The	
			modification is not	
			considered to impact on the	
			appraisal.	
DMMod77	Policy DM39	Amend DM39 Part A to read:	S3	S3
		A. The Council has made provision for proposals for	These changes are for	
		warehouse living, at selected Local Employment Area –	clarification and plan	
		Regeneration Areas, within the Harringay Warehouse	legibility, and reinforce	
		District as defined in the Site Allocations <b>Document DPD</b> ,	location criteria previously	
		and the Fountayne & Markfield Road area as defined in	set out in the policy. The	
		the Tottenham Area Action Plan (See Figure 6.1).	modification is not	
		Warehouse living proposals will only be acceptable	considered to impact on the	
		within these identified locations.	appraisal.	
DMMod78	Policy DM39	Amend DM39 Part C to read:	The policy now provides	No change
			assurance that masterplans	
		C. The preparation of a masterplan will have regard to	will have appropriate regard	
		individual site circumstances and the following matters:	to individual site	
			circumstances, including	
			existing authorised uses. This	
			will help ensure effective	
			implementation of the	
			broader policy principles for	
			Warehouse Living. The	
			modification thus provides	
			more certainty of the likely	
			effects but does not impact	
			on the appraisal.	
DMMod79	Policy DM39	Amend DM39 Part E to read:	S3	S3
		E. Proposals for warehouse living on industrial estates	The deleted text has been	
		not identified in Part A of the policy will be resisted	retained within a new	

		will proposals for Live/Work anywhere within the	anitanian addad to the in alimi	
			criterion added to the policy.	
		<u>Borough</u> .	The modification does not	
			therefore impact on the	
			appraisal.	
DMMod80	Policy DM39	Amend DM39 to include an additional criterion to read:	S3	S3
		F. Proposals for Live/Work units anywhere within the	This criterion incorporates	
		Borough will be resisted.	text previously included	
			elsewhere in the policy. The	
			modification does not	
			therefore impact on the	
			appraisal.	
DMMod81	Paragraph 6.20	Amend 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.20 to read:	S2	S2
		The expected outcome is to secure a long-term		
		sustainable economic future for these employment land		
		sites that builds on the amenity being created and		
		complements the unique character of the areas in		
		which they are situated		
DMMod82	Paragraph	Amend paragraph 6.21 to include an additional sentence	S2	S2
Divilviouoz	6.21	at the end of paragraph to read:	32	32
	0.21	at the cha of paragraph to read.		
		In preparing proposals and site masterplans, the		
		Council expects applicants to have engaged with and		
		sought the views of Hackney Council, particularly on		
		sites which adjoin the Borough boundary.		
DMMod83	Paragraph	Insert the following paragraph after paragraph 6.22 to	S2	S2
	6.22	read:		
		For the purposes of monitoring, warehouse living		
		development, including Lawful Development		
		Certificates, will contribute towards Haringey's strategic		
		housing requirement through the provision of non-		

		someontional (non-colf contained) comply and to the		
		conventional (non-self-contained) supply, and to the		
		strategic employment land requirement through the		
		provision of communal or dedicated employment		
		<u>floorspace.</u>		
DMMod84	DM39	Include a map identifying the relevant site allocations	S1	S1
	supporting	where provision has been made for warehouse living.		
	text			
DMMod85	Policy DM40	Amend title of Policy DM40 to read:	S1	S1
		<u>Loss of Non-Designated</u> Employment Land and		
		Floorspace		
DMMod86	Policy DM40	Amend Policy DM40 to include a new Part A to read:	S3	S3
		A. On non-designated employment sites within highly	For plan legibility this	
		accessible or otherwise sustainable locations, the	criterion for non-designated	
		Council will support proposals for mixed-use,	employment sites has been	
		employment-led development where this is necessary	moved from DM38, so that	
		to facilitate the renewal and regeneration (including	DM40 is a catch-all policy	
		intensification) of existing employment land and	dealing with non-designated	
		floorspace. All proposals for mixed-use development	land and sites. The	
		must satisfy the requirements of Policy DM38.A(a-g).	modification does not amend	
			policy principles and	
			therefore does not impact on	
			the appraisal.	
DMMod87	Policy DM40	Amend Policy DM40 Part A to read:	S3	S3
		B.A. Subject to other policy requirements On all other	The policy has been amended	
		non-designated employment sites (i.e. those which do	with additional criteria to	
		not meet the location criteria of (A) above), the loss of	assist with interpretation and	
		employment land and floorspace will only be permitted	implementation. However	
		where it can be demonstrated that the building or land	these do not change the	
		is no longer suitable for continued employment use	overarching policy principles	
		having regard to:	on loss of non-designated	

			T	
			employment land located	
		a. <u>feasible alternative employment uses <del>It is</del></u>	outside of sustainable	
		demonstrated that the site is no longer suitable or	locations. The modification	
		viable for the existing or an alternative industrial or	does not therefore impact on	
		<u>business use</u> ; <u>and</u>	the appraisal.	
		b. the age and condition of the existing building(s) and		
		the potential for refurbishment or adaptation, in		
		particular to more flexible unit sizes;		
		c. site layout, access, and relationship to neighbouring		
		uses;		
		d. periods of long-term vacancy		
		e. There is clear evidence that an open and of recent,		
		continuous and suitable campaign to marketing the site,		
		covering a minimum continuous period of 3 years, has		
		been undertaken without success.		
DMMod88	Policy DM40	Amend Policy DM40 Part B to read:	The removal of the proposed	No change
			sequential approach may	
		<b>BC.</b> Subject to (B) above, w\text{\text{\$\psi}} here the Council is satisfied	have potential to limit	
		that the loss of non-designated employment land or	positive effects on social	
		floorspace is acceptable, <u>it will require new</u>	sustainability objectives,	
		development support will be given to proposals that	particularly those with strong	
		deliver community uses either as the sole use or as part	links to social infrastructure	
		of a mixed-use development. to apply a sequential	(i.e. education, health,	
		approach to delivering an alternative use through	community cohesion and	
		redevelopment, as follows:	accessibility) as well as	
			employment objectives.	
		a. Strategic community infrastructure appropriate to the	However this impact may be	
		location;	offset somewhat by the	
		b. Mixed-use development that includes employment	policy support given to	
		generating and/or community uses;	proposals which deliver	
		c. Residential use	community uses either as the	
			sole use or as part of mixed-	
			use development.	

DMMod89	Policy DM40	Amend Policy DM40 Part C to read:	S1/S3	S1/S3
		C. Where Pproposals involveing the total loss of employment floorspace will be required to make a financial contribution towards employment regeneration projects, training schemes, job brokerage services or business support related initiatives may be sought, in line with Policy SP9 and DM 48.	The modification provides more flexibility for consideration of planning obligations on individual schemes but does not alter the overall thrust of the policy objectives. Therefore the change is not considered to impact on the appraisal.	
DMMod90	Paragraph 6.25	Amend 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.25 to read:  Unless these sites are given protection there is a risk they will be lost to other types of development such as housing, without full consideration of their potential to support other employment generating uses or strategic infrastructure.	S2	S2
DMMod91	Paragraphs 6.26 to 6.28	Delete paragraphs 6.26, 6.27 & 6.28 and replace with the following:  Therefore, the aim of the policy is to secure the reprovision of new employment floorspace to support local demand for a range of employment uses. Mixeduse redevelopment and changes of use can help to secure the re-provision of employment floorspace that is better tailored to meeting local demand, supported employment diversity, and maintains the vibrancy and viability of an area. On sites where it can be demonstrated that they are no longer suitable for continued employment or commercial use, it is appropriate that these be released for other forms of sustainable development, including community uses	S2	S2

		where these could help meet locally identified needs.		
DMMod92	Policy DM41	Amend Part A to read:	S1	S1
		A. Proposals for new retail, leisure and cultural uses	This is a technical clarification	
		within Metropolitan and District Town Centres, and Local	which is not considered to	
		Centres, will be supported where they:	impact on the appraisal	
			findings. Local Centres were	
			already signposted in the	
			supporting text and taken to	
			apply to the policy through	
			the appraisal process.	
DMMod93	Policy DM42	Amend DM42 Part A to read:	The policy now refers	No change
			'appropriate town centre	
		Within Primary Shopping Frontages of the Metropolitan	uses' consistent with the	
		and District Town Centres, as defined on the Haringey	definition set out in national	
		Policies Map, the use of ground floor units for retail,	policy. The uses specified in	
		financial & professional services, restaurants & cafes	the deleted text all fall within	
		and pubs & bars appropriate town centre uses will be	this definition. The change	
		permitted where:	will provide for a wider range	
			of acceptable uses in centres	
			(including but not limited to	
			the main uses specified)	
			which is likely to positively impact on town centre vitality	
			and viability. However such	
			positive effects were already	
			demonstrated through the	
			baseline appraisal and no	
			further significant effects are	
			expected to result from the	
			modification.	
DMMod94	Policy DM46	Amend DM46 Part A to consolidate with Part A(a) to	S1	S1
		read:		

		A. Proposals for betting shops will only be permitted where they are appropriately located within the Metropolitan Town Centre, a District Town Centre or Local Centre, having regard to Policy DM42 (Primary and Secondary Frontages) and DM43 (Local Shopping Centres): and.		
DMMod95	Policy DM46	b. The total number of betting shops (including extant permissions) will not exceed 5% of the units within the town or local centre.	For appraisal purposes this modification is considered alongside the other modifications for Policy DM46. The threshold approach has been deleted although the policy continues to provide a basis for managing the overconcentration of this particular type of use in town centres. The modification is therefore unlikely to have significant impacts on the baseline appraisal in terms of economic sustainability objectives. The impact on social objectives (including health) is uncertain.	No change
DMMod96	Policy DM46	Amend Policy DM46 to include a new Part B to read:  B. Proposals for new betting shops will be assessed against their impact on town centre vitality and viability, having regard to:  a. The number of existing betting shops in the centre;	For appraisal purposes this modification is considered alongside the other modifications for Policy DM46. The threshold approach has been deleted although the policy continues	No change

			1	1
		and and	to provide a basis for	
		b. The need to avoid overconcentration and saturation	managing the	
		of this particular type of use.	overconcentration of this	
			particular type of use in town	
			centres. The modification is	
			therefore unlikely to have	
			significant impacts on the	
			baseline appraisal in terms of	
			economic sustainability	
			objectives. The impact on	
			social objectives (including	
			health) is uncertain.	
DMMod97	Paragraph 6.55	Amend paragraph 6.55 to read:	S2	S2
	0.55	The Public Health Directorate has published a Health		
		Evidence Base (2012) to inform preparation of Haringey's		
		Local Plan. This highlights the link between health		
		outcomes and the proximity of betting shops. It		
		concludes there is sufficient evidence to demonstrate		
		that access to gambling venues, including betting shops,		
		leads to increased gambling behaviour and that this, in		
		turn, is associated with poor health outcomes spatial		
		distribution of licensed betting shops in Haringey. The baseline information has since been updated using the		
		Council's licensing data (2016), which shows a notable		
		concentration of betting shops in town centres,		
		particularly in Wood Green and Tottenham, when		
DNANAGAOC	Daragraph	compared to elsewhere in the Borough.	C2	ca.
DMMod98	Paragraph	Amend 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.56 to read:	S2	S2
	6.56	The Council is committed to improving the health and		
		, ,		
		well-being of its residents along with visitors to the		
		Borough, including by promoting development that		

		achieves mixed and sustainable communities.		
DMMod99	Paragraph 6.57	Amend paragraph 6.57 to read:	S2	S2
		The Council considers that the five percent threshold	This modification sets out	
		allowance for betting shops in town centres approach is	implementation points to	
		reasonable to support economic development and	correspond with	
		demand for this use, whilst protecting against the	modifications to the Policy	
		adverse impacts that an overconcentration of betting	DM46, for which	
		shops can have on the vitality and viability of town and	sustainability considerations	
		local centres. This includes impacts on: the overall	are included above.	
		quality and diversity of the centre's retail offer,		
		including provision for a range uses that encourage		
		linked trips; the continuity of uses in frontages,		
		consistent with other plan policies; local character,		
		townscape and public realm, particularly where there is		
		a need to ensure active frontages; and community safety.		
DMMod100	Figure 6.1	Delete Figure 6.1 "Healthy Eating Zones".	S1	S1
Divilviou100	rigule 0.1	Delete Figure 0.1 Fleating Lating 20163.	31	31
			Figure 6.1 was included for	
			illustrative purposes only (i.e.	
			the figure is not a policy in its	
			own right) representing the	
			extent of the proposed 400m	
			hot food takeaway exclusion	
			zone in DM47. The	
			modification does not	
			therefore impact on the	
			appraisal – see other SA	
			comments on DM47	
			(DMMod101) for appraisal	
	<b>-</b>		findings.	
DMMod101	Policy DM47	Delete Part A of Policy DM47:	For appraisal purposes this	No change

		1	Τ	Т
			modification is considered	
		A. The council will resist proposals for hot food takeway	alongside the other	
		shops located within 400 meters of the boundaries of a	modifications for Policy	
		primary or secondary school.	DM47. The policy continues	
			to provide a basis for	
			managing the spatial	
			distribution of this particular	
			type of use, but now applies a	
			'town centre first' approach.	
			The modification is likely to	
			result in positive effects on	
			economic sustainability	
			objectives when considered	
			with the full suite of policy	
			criteria (i.e. those which also	
			prevent the negative	
			clustering of uses in centres).	
			Impacts on social objectives	
			(including health) are	
			uncertain.	
DMMod102	Policy DM47	Amend Part B of Policy DM47 to read.	For appraisal purposes this	No change
			modification is considered	3
		B Subject to (A) above, pP roposals for hot food takeway	alongside the other	
		shops should be located within town centres and will	modifications for Policy	
		enly be permitted where:	DM47. The threshold	
			approach has been deleted	
		a. The percentage of hot food takeaway shops will	although the policy continues	
		not exceed 5% of designated shopping frontage	to provide a basis for	
		in the Metropolitan and District Town Centres	managing the	
		and local centres;	overconcentration of this	
		b. Within neighbourhood parades, other non-	particular type of use in town	
		designated frontages and elsewhere in the	centres. The modification is	
		borough, it is suitable It can be demonstrated	therefore unlikely to have	
	1			<u> </u>

		that the proposal will not result in an overconcentration or excessive clustering of hot food takeways having regard to the existing number of hot food takeaways within the centre or frontage;	significant impacts on the baseline appraisal in terms of economic sustainability objectives. The impact on social objectives (including health) is uncertain.	
DMMod103	Paragraph 6.59	Amend paragraph 6.59 to read:  The Public Health Directorate has published a health evidence base, which, along with Hot Food Takeaway Shops: An Evidence Base Study (2015) to has informed preparation of Haringey's Local Plan. This highlights the link between health outcomes and the proximity of hot food takeaways. The evidence identifies a correlation between the distribution of hot food takeaways in Haringey and levels of overweight and obese children that there are approximately 170 hot food takeaways across the Borough. The concentration of hot food takeaways per 100,000 head of the population in Haringey was judged by the National Obesity Observatory as being in England's top quintile of local fast food outlet concentrations.	S1/S2	S1/S2
DMMod104	Paragraph 6.60	Amend the 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.60 to read:  In light of the above evidence base, it is considered appropriate for the Local Plan to seek to manage the development of hot food takeaways, particularly around primary and secondary schools where they pose a significant health risk to children to deliver mixed and sustainable communities, including viable town and local centres.	S2	S2
DMMod105	Paragraph 6.61	The Council considers that the five percent threshold allowance for hot food takeaways in town centres	S2	S2

approach is reasonable to support economic development and demand for this use, whilst protecting against the adverse impacts that an overconcentration or excessive clustering of the whole of the oblight of the whole of the wh		1			1
against the adverse impacts that an overconcentration or excessive clustering of this use hot food takeaways can have on the vitality and viability of town and local centres and other shopping frontages. This includes impacts on: the overall quality and diversity of the centre's retail offer, including provision for a range uses that encourage linked trips; the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.  DMMod106  Paragraph 6.62  Fa assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Eating Zone" (Figure 1) this map who revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  In the Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaways shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, furnes and noise. Planning conditions may			<u>approach</u> is reasonable to support economic	This modification sets out	
excessive clustering of shis use hot food takeaways can have on the vitality and viability of town and local centres and other shopping frontages. This includes impacts on: the overall quality and diversity of the centre's retail offer, including provision for a range uses that encourage linked trips: the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.  Delete paragraph 6.62  Delete paragraph 6.62  To assist with the implementation of this policy, the council has mapped a "Healthy Eating Zone" (Figure 6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF town centre first approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, furnes and noise. Planning conditions may			development and demand for this use, whilst protecting	implementation points to	
have on the vitality and viability of town and local centres and other shopping frontages. This includes impacts on: the overall quality and diversity of the centre's retail offer, including provision for a range uses that encourage linked trips; the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.  DMMod106  Paragraph 6.62  Delete paragraph 6.62  Delete paragraph 6.62  To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Fating Zone" (Figure exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise, Planning conditions may			against the adverse impacts that an overconcentration or	correspond with	
centres and other shopping frontages. This includes impacts on: the overall quality and diversity of the centre's retail offer, including provision for a range uses that encourage linked trips: the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.  DMMod106  Paragraph 6.62  Delete paragraph 6.62  To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Eating Zone" (Figure 6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  and replace with the following paragraph:  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise, Planning conditions may			excessive clustering of this use hot food takeaways can	modifications to the Policy,	
impacts on: the overall quality and diversity of the centre's retail offer, including provision for a range uses that encourage linked trips; the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.  DMMod106 Paragraph 6.62  Delete paragraph 6.62  To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Eating Zone" (Figure 6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in edwcation provision across the Borough.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			have on the vitality and viability of town and local	for which sustainability	
centre's retail offer, including provision for a range uses that encourage linked trips; the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.  DMMod106  Paragraph 6.62  Delete paragraph 6.62  To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Sating Zone" (Figure exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  and replace with the following paragraph:  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Not food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			centres and other shopping frontages. This includes	considerations are included	
that encourage linked trips; the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.  DMMod106  Paragraph 6.62  Delete paragraph 6.62  To assist with the implementation of this policy, the council has mapped a "Healthy Fating Zone" (Figure 6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  and replace with the following paragraph:  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			impacts on: the overall quality and diversity of the	above.	
frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.  Delete paragraph 6.62  To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Eating Zone" (Figure 6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  and replace with the following paragraph:  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			centre's retail offer, including provision for a range uses		
character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.  DMMod106 Paragraph 6.62  To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Eating Zone" (Figure 6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised ever time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			that encourage linked trips; the continuity of uses in		
DMMod106 Paragraph 6.62  Delete paragraph 6.62  To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Eating Zone" (Figure exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local		
DMMod106 Paragraph 6.62  Delete paragraph 6.62  To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Eating Zone" (Figure exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			character, townscape and public realm; environmental		
To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Eating Zone" (Figure 6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  and replace with the following paragraph:  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may					
To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a "Healthy Eating Zone" (Figure 6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may	DMMod106	Paragraph		S2	S2
implementation points to correspond with modifications to the Policy, for which sustainability considerations are included above.  This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  and replace with the following paragraph:  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may					
implementation points to correspond with modifications to the Policy, for which sustainability consideration provision across the Borough.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			To assist with the implementation of this policy, the	This modification sets out	
6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may				implementation points to	
exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  and replace with the following paragraph:  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre		
This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.  and replace with the following paragraph:  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways.	•	
considerations are included above.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may				•	
above.  The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may					
The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may				above.	
The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			and replace with the following paragraph:		
appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			and replace that the jene thing paragraph		
appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may			The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most		
NPPF 'town centre first' approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may					
are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may					
linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may					
significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may					
operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may					
environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may					
disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may					
			-		

		<u>local area.</u>		
DMMod107	Policy DM48	Amend Policy DM48 as follows:	\$1/\$3	S1/S3
		A. Planning obligations will be sought on a scheme-by-	The modification provides	
		scheme basis having regard to the relevant policy	further clarity in respect of	
		requirements of the Local Plan, development specific	the statutory requirements	
		impacts, appropriate mitigation, viability, and the	for planning obligations, as	
		statutory tests for the use of planning obligations.	well as introducing the scope	
			of contributions that may be	
		B. The following is a list of some areas where the	sought by the Council.	
		Council may seek planning obligations. Other types of	However the key principle of	
		obligations may be necessary to mitigate site specific	the policy (i.e. to seek	
		impacts:	contributions to ensure	
			appropriate mitigation of site	
		- Affordable Housing	specific impacts) remains	
		- Transport and Highways	intact.	
		- Employment and Skills Training		
		- Amenity Space		
		- Open Space		
		-Children's Play Space		
		- Public Realm		
		- Public Art		
1		- Sustainable Design and Construction		
		- Carbon Reduction		
		- Decentralised Energy Networks		
		- Biodiversity		
		- Flood Risk		
		- Utilities  Historia Environment		
		- Historic Environment		
		- Community Safety		
DMMod108	Paragraph 7.21	Amend paragraph 7.21 Part iii to read:	S2	S2

		Suitable marketing activity of the business as an on-going concern over the past 12 months, as demonstrated through evidence of an unsuccessful campaign to market the site for the existing use at terms which are appropriate to the local economic area (including details of commercial agents, adverts in publications and lease terms offered to existing or previous occupants); and		
DMMod109	Policy DM55	Amend Policy DM55 Part B to read:  B. In preparing their proposals and the wider site masterplan, the Council expects the applicant to have engaged with and sought the views of the landowner(s) and occupiers of the other part(s) of the allocated site and, where appropriate, neighbouring properties and boroughs.	The policy seeks to engage stakeholders as appropriate on the preparation of site masterplans. The inclusion of other boroughs as relevant stakeholders strengthens the approach, however the modification is not considered to have a significant impact on the baseline appraisal.	\$3
DMMod110	Policy DM55	Amend Policy DM55 to include a new Part C to read:  C. In the interim period where a masterplan is to be prepared but has not come forward as part of a planning application, proposals must demonstrate that they will not compromise delivery of the outcomes sought by the site allocation.	The modification clarifies expectations in respect of site masterplanning. The change is likely to have significant positive effects across all sustainability objectives, as it will help ensure certainty on the delivery of strategic outcomes.	S3
DMMod111	Paragraph	Insert the following sentence after the third sentence of	S1/S2	S1/S2

	7.71	paragraph 7.71 and include the monitoring table (provided at the end of this schedule) at the end of paragraph 7.71:  The exception is monitoring of the Warehouse Living Policy DM39 for which the monitoring framework is provided below.		
DMMod112	Appendix F Glossary of Terms	Amend definition of Warehouse Living to read:  Purpose built and genuine integrated, communal working and living accommodation specifically targeted at the creative industries sectors. This development typology does not fall within a specific Use Class and is considered a Sui Generis use.	S1	S1
<b>Minor Mod</b>	ifications			
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change	SA Screening	HRA Screening
DMMinor1	Paragraph 2.16	Amend last sentence in paragraph 2.16 to read:  Transport for London's (TfL) Streetscape Guidance (2009) (2015) provides guidance for use on TfL roads the Transport for London Road Network (TLRN).	S1/S2	S1/S2
DMMinor2	Policy DM5	Amend Part B of the Policy DM5 to read:  B. Obstructions to the Locally Significant Views should be minimised and will be assessed by the Council on their level of impact on the views.	S1	S1
DMMinor3	Figure 2.1 & Appendix A pg 84 & Table 5 Site	Figure 2.1 to be amended, including the Key to provide accurate number referencing.  Consequential amendments are required to the Schedules	S1	S1

		numbering is in line with Figure 2.1.		
DMMinor4	Paragraph 2.35	Amend Paragraph 2.35 to read:	S1	S1
		There are a number of views included in the Council's		
		Conservation Area Appraisals and Management Plans		
		that proposals should have regard to in order to		
		positively respond to local character.		
DMMinor5	Paragraph 2.51	Amend the first sentence of the paragraph 2.51 to read:	S2	S2
		Shop fronts with poor quality materials, bulky and		
		<u>crudely attached, fully</u> internal illuminated box fascias		
DMMinor6	Paragraph 2.53	Amend the first sentence of the paragraph 2.53 to read:	S2	S2
		Poorly designed or brightly excessively bright		
		illuminated shop fascias		
DMMinor7	Paragraph 2.58	Amend the second sentence to read:	S2	S2
		The level of detail provided in the statement should be		
		proportionate to the <u>agreed</u> importance of the asset,		
		having regard to its significance and potential impact on this.		
		And in the last line of the paragraph insert 'Area'		
		between 'Conservation' and 'Advisory'		
DMMinor8	Policy DM9	Amend Policy DM9(D) as follows:	S1	S1
		" provided they are compatible with and/or		
		compliment complement the special characteristics"		
DMMinor9	Policy DM9	Amend Policy DM9 Part F(d) to read:	S1/S3	S1/S3
		d. Extensions are restricted to less significant parts of the	The policy now clarifies that	
		building, relate sensitively to the original building and do	considerations for internal	
		not adversely affect the internal or external appearance	appearance or character	

		or character of the <u>listed</u> building, curtilage or its setting.	apply to listed buildings. The modification does not impact on the appraisal.	
DMMinor10	Paragraph 2.76	Amend paragraph 2.76 to read:  Applicants should seek advice from, and the Council will consult, GLAAS (Greater London Authority Archaeological Service) and,-where appropriate, Historic England in all applications	S2	S2
DMMinor11	Policy DM18	Amend Part A of the Policy to read:  A. Householder extensions to existing basements, <u>or- and</u> the construction of new basements, <u>including</u> in existing dwellings	S3	S3
DMMinor12	Policy DM18	<ul><li>Amend Policy DM18 Part A(b) as follows:</li><li>b. Does not increase flood risk to the property and nearby properties from any source (See Policy DM24)</li></ul>	S3	S3
DMMinor13	Policy DM22	b. All development that incorporates site-wide communal energy systems should optimise examine opportunities for extending such systems	The policy now reflects that proposals will only be assessed on the basis of development proposed within the subject site boundary. However the principle of the policy is retained, in that it still provides that proposals give consideration to DE network opportunities where practical and feasible. The modification is not considered to impact on the appraisal findings.	No change

DMMinor14	Paragraph 4.59	Amend paragraph 4.59 to read:	S1	S1
		"The GLA and London Council's Best Practice Guidance		
		on Mayor's 'The Control of Dust Emissions from		
		Construction and Demolition' SPG (2014) should be"		
DMMinor15	Policy DM24	Amend Policy DM24 Part B(d) to read:	S3	S3
		d. Contribute to naturalising watercourses where		
		opportunities arise. <u>in line with Policy DM28</u>		
		(Watercourses and Flood Defences).		
DMMinor16	Policy DM24	Amend Policy DM24 Part C (a) to read:	S3	S3
		a. Manage and reduce surface water run-off, in line with		
		Policy DM25 (Sustainable Drainage Systems) and Policy		
		DM26 (Critical Drainage Areas):and		
DMMinor17	Policy DM24	Amend Policy DM24 Part C(b) to read:	S3	S3
		b. Manage water and waste water discharges. in line		
		with Policy DM29 (On-site Management of Waste		
		Water and Water Supply).		
DMMinor18	Policy DM30	Amend Policy DM30 as follows:	S3	S3
		" that any impacts caused by the operation of the		
		facility can be controlled to achieve levels that such that		
		the facility will not have a significant adverse effect on		
		human health and the environment in line with		
		regulatory requirements."		
DMMinor19	Policy DM34	Amend Policy DM34 Part A to read:	S3	S3
		A. Where planning permission is required, t∓he Council	The modification reflects that	
		will only permit parking on front gardens where a	some development would not	
		minimum of 50% of existing soft landscaping area is	be captured by the policy	
		being retained. All proposals must appropriately	owing to Permitted	

DMMinor20	Policy DM45	manage flood risk in line with other plan policies. Any hard standing should seek to improve drainage and reduce flooding through incorporate the use of a permeable material.  Amend the title to Policy DM45 to read:  Maximising-Optimising the Use of Town Centre Land and Floorspace	Development rights. However this would not affect how the policy would be implemented on applicable development.  S1	S1
DMMinor21	Policy DM45	A. The Council will seek to maximise optimise the use of land	The policy now seeks the optimal use of land rather than maximum use (i.e. quantum). The change is considered to present a more sustainable framework for development and is likely to have minor positive effects on the sustainability objectives overall, particularly for the 'town-centres' topic within the economic sustainability objectives.	No change
DMMinor22  DMMinor23	Policy DM47 Paragraph	Amend DM47 Part C to read:  C. The Council may impose apply conditions on any planning permission granted for a hot food takeaway to ensure appropriate control over management of noise, vibration and odours, waste management, hours of operation and community safety.  Amend the reference in the first sentence to refer to	S3 S1	S3 S1
	7.34	Policy DM 55 and not DM56, and the last sentence to refer to Policy DM56(A) and not DM56(B).		
DMMinor24	Paragraph 7.35	Amend the reference to 'Crossrail' in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> last sentence of Paragraph 7.35 to refer to 'Crossrail <u>2</u> '.	S1	S1

DMMinor25	Paragraph 7.70	Fourth line to be amended as follows:	S1	S1
		Table <u><b>17.1</b></u>		
DMMinor26	Paragraph 7.71	Insert the following sentence after the third sentence of paragraph 7.71 and include the monitoring table (provided at the end of this schedule) at the end of paragraph 7.71:	S2	S2
		The exception is monitoring of the Warehouse Living Policy DM39 for which		
		the monitoring framework is provided below.		
DMMinor27	Page 82	Paragraph numbering does not continue accurately from the previous page. Amend to ensure correct numbering.	S1	S1
DMMinor28	Appendix A	Second line to be amended as follows:	S1	S1
		Figure <u>2.3</u> <u>2.1</u>		
DMMinor29	Appendix A	Amend Appendix A 'Schedule of Locally Significant Views' to include coordinates indicating origin points of the views.	S1	S1
DMMinor30	Appendix E	Include in Appendix E the "Open Space and Recreational Standards SPD"	S1	S1
DMMinor31	Appendix F Glossary of Terms	Amend the definition for self build housing as follows:  Self-Build and Custom Housebuilding Housing: As defined in the Community Infrastructure Regulations (Amendment) 2014 Self-build and Custom	S1	S1
		Housebuilding Act 2015, as amended by the Housing and Planning Act 2016, means the building or completion of a house or houses by an individual or an		
		association of individuals for their own occupation. A dwelling built by a person (including where built		
		following commission by a person, and occupied by a		

## **Modifications (Table)**

Appendix A: Schedule of Locally Significant Views (See Modification Reference DMMinor29)

Ref	View/Description	Easting	Northing
1	Alexandra Palace > Central London (City and St Paul's) Panorama Strategic view	529595	189954
2	Twyford Avenue > across Fortis Mere School playing fields to Enfield Panorama Local view	528103	189740
3	Alexandra Palace > to Highgate Ridge Panorama Local view	529513	189902
4	Cranley Gardens > view along street and backdrop to West Green beyond Linear Local view	528461	189139
5	Parkland Walk, bridge over St James Lane> Crouch End valley, ridge and central London landmarks beyond Linear Local view	528824	189403
6	Archway Road north > Archway Bridge Linear Local view	529197	187243
7	Archway Road south > Archway Bridge Linear Local view	529088	187452
8	Ferme Park Road at junction of Ridge Road > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	530719	188157
9	Ridge Road at junction of Denton Road > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	531045	188248
10	Alexandra Park Road at junction Curzon Road and Windermere Road > to Enfield and Lea Valley Linear Local view	528783	190382
11	Alexandra Palace > Broomfield Park and beyond Panorama Local view	529779	190212
12	Hampstead Lane > view along the lane and towards Highgate village – glimpses between buildings Townscape Local view	527981	187504
13a	Highgate Hill > view south towards Emirates and Central London Linear Local view	528674	187340
13b	Highgate Hill > view south towards Emirates and Central London Linear Local view	528742	187314
14	Alexandra Palace > Crouch End Ridge line Panorama Local view	529651	190010
15	Station Road, New Southgate > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	528625	192382
16	Broomfield Park > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	530245	192965
17	Bounds Green Road railway bridge > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	530146	191275
18	Devonshire Road, corner of Devonshire Hill Lane > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	532435	191605

19a	Lordship Lane at Bruce Castle > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	533498	190620
19b	Lordship Lane at Bruce Castle > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	533433	190606
19c	Lordship Lane at Bruce Castle > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	533355	190592
20	Watermead Way railway bridge > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	534561	190028
21	Downhill Park Road > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	532438	189723
22	Adams Road > Alexandra Park Linear Local view	532791	190244
23	Alexandra palace > from corner of Seven Sisters Road, Amhurst Park and Eade Road Linear Local view	532679	187886
24	View along Inderwick Road Townscape Local view	530589	188751
25	View along Nelson Road Townscape Local view	530505	188736
26	Queenmore Road, Stapleton Hall Road junction > Seven Sisters and Hale Village Linear Local view	531429	188242
27	Tottenham High Road > view along the road from Tottenham Green to Apex House Townscape Local view	533712	189376
	Tottenham High Road > view along the road from High Cross Monument to Bruce Grove Station Townscape Local		
28	view	533777	189569
29	Tottenham High Road > view along the road from White Hart Lane Stadium to Swells Corner Townscape Local view	533922	191058
30a	Lee Valley > from roads and foot bridges over railway north and south of Tottenham Hale Panorama Local view	534739	189456
30b	Lee Valley > from roads and foot bridges over railway north and south of Tottenham Hale Panorama Local view	534739	189456
31a	Lee Valley > from Chalk Bridge over Lee navigation Panorama Local view	535689	191466
31b	Lee Valley > from Chalk Bridge over Lee navigation Panorama Local view	535636	191469
32	Lee Valley north > from Blackhorse Lane (Waltham Forest) Panorama Local view	535284	189299
	White Hart Lane Stadium > from Northumberland Park Road and unfolding from Down Park Lane Linear and		
33a	Townscape Local view	534120	191486
	White Hart Lane Stadium > from Northumberland Park Road and unfolding from Down Park Lane Linear and		
33b	Townscape Local view	534790	191055
	White Hart Lane Stadium > from Northumberland Park Road and unfolding from Down Park Lane Linear and		4040=0
33c	Townscape Local view	534274	191058
24	White Hart Lane Stadium > from Bruce Castle Park northern side and along Church Lane Linear and Townscape	F22420	100075
34	Local view	533428	190975
35	Views across open spaces of Tottenham Cemetery Panorama Local view	533012	191310
36	St Ann's Church > unfolding from St Ann's Road Townscape Local view	532674	188650

37a	St Ignatius' Church > from South Tottenham Station along Tottenham High Road Townscape Local view	533624	188555
37b	St Ignatius' Church > from South Tottenham Station along Tottenham High Road Townscape Local view	533691	188000